arXiv:1412.1918v1 [math.OA] 5 Dec 2014

BILOCAL *-AUTOMORPHISMS OF $B(H)$ SATISFYING THE 3-LOCAL PROPERTY

AHLEM BEN ALI ESSALEH, MOHSEN NIAZI, AND ANTONIO M. PERALTA

ABSTRACT. We prove that, for a complex Hilbert space H with dimension bigger or equal than three, every linear mapping $T : B(H) \to B(H)$ satisfying the 3-local property is a *-monomorphism, that is, every linear mapping $T : B(H) \to B(H)$ satisfying that for every a in $B(H)$ and every ξ, η in H, there exists a *-automorphism $\pi_{a,\xi,\eta} : B(H) \to B(H)$, depending on a, ξ, and η, such that

$$T(a)(\xi) = \pi_{a,\xi,\eta}(a)(\xi), \text{ and } T(a)(\eta) = \pi_{a,\xi,\eta}(a)(\eta),$$

is a *-monomorphism. This solves a question posed by L. Molnár in [*Arch. Math.* **102**, 83-89 (2014)].

1. Introduction and preliminaries

In a recent contribution (cf. [11]), L. Molnár devotes a note to release and develop the notion of *bilocal *-automorphisms* on $B(H)$, a notion which was inspired by the concept of bilocal derivations due to Xiong and Zhu (see [15]). According to [11], a linear mapping $T : B(H) \to B(H)$ is said to be a *bilocal *-automorphism* if for every a in $B(H)$ and every ξ in H, there exists a *-automorphism $\pi_{a,\xi} : B(H) \to B(H)$, depending on a and ξ, such that $T(a)(\xi) = \pi_{a,\xi}(a)(\xi)$.

We recall that a linear mapping T on a C*-algebra A is said to be a *local *-automorphism* if for every a in A, there exists a *-automorphism $\pi_a : A \to A$, depending on a, such that $T(a) = \pi_{a,\xi}(a)$ (compare [9] and [3]). Clearly, every local *-automorphism on $B(H)$ is a bilocal *-automorphism.

The most significant results established by Molnár in [11] can be subsumed in the following:

2000 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* Primary 47B49; 47B48 Secondary 15A86; 47L10.

Key words and phrases. bilocal *-automorphism; 3-local property; extreme-strong-local *-automorphism; *-monomorphism; unitary equivalence; bilocal unitary equivalence.

Authors partially supported by the Spanish Ministry of Science and Innovation, D.G.I. project no. MTM2011-23843, and Junta de Andalucía grant FQM375. Third author partially supported by the Deanship of Scientific Research at King Saud University (Saudi Arabia) research group no. RG-1435-020. The first and second authors acknowledge the partial financial support from the IEMath-GR program for visits of young talented researchers. First author partially supported by the Higher Education And Scientific Research In Tunisia project UR11ES52.

Theorem 1.1. [11, Theorem 1] *Let H be an infinite dimensional and separable complex Hilbert space. For a linear transformation $T : B(H) \to B(H)$ the following two assertions are equivalent:*

(a) *T is a bilocal *-automorphism, that is, for every $a \in B(H)$ and $\xi \in H$, there exists an algebra *-automorphism $\pi_{a,\xi}$ of $B(H)$ such that $T(a)(\xi) = \pi_{a,\xi}(a)(\xi)$;*

(b) *T is a unital algebra *-endomorphism of $B(H)$.*

Moreover, if H is finite-dimensional, then (a) holds if and only if T is either an algebra *-automorphism or an algebra *-anti-automorphism of $B(H)$. □

We know that the linear bijection $T : M_2(\mathbb{C}) \to M_2(\mathbb{C})$, $T(a) = a^t$, where a^t denotes the transpose of a, is a local *-automorphism, and a Jordan *-automorphism, but it is not multiplicative (compare [12, Example 3.14]). So, the conclusion of the above Theorem cannot be improved easily.

Bilocal *-automorphisms can be englobed in a wider class of linear maps which are called *strong-local *-automorphisms* (cf. [1]). Given a C*-algebra A, we denote by $S(A)$ the set of all states on A (i.e. the set of all norm-one, positive functionals in A^*). For each $\phi \in S(A)$, the assignment $a \mapsto |||a|||_\phi = \phi(a^*a)^{\frac{1}{2}}$, defines a pre-Hilbertian seminorm on A. A linear mapping $T : A \to A$ is called a *strong-local *-automorphism* if for every $a \in A$, and every state $\phi \in S(A)$, there exists a *-automorphism $\pi_{a,\phi} : A \to A$, depending on a and ϕ, such that

$$|||T(a) - \pi_{a,\phi}(a)|||_\phi = 0.$$

With a little abuse of notation, when in the above definition, A is a von Neumann algebra M and the set $S(A)$ of states of A is replaced with the set $S_n(M)$ of all normal states on M, we also employ the term *strong-local *-automorphism* on M (cf. [1]).

There exists another interesting subclass of the class of strong-local *-automorphisms defined as follows: Let M be von Neumann algebra. A linear mapping $T : M \to M$ is said to be an *extreme-strong-local *-automorphism* if for every $a \in M$, and every pure normal state $\phi \in \partial_e(S_n(M))$, there exists a *-automorphism $\pi_{a,\phi} : M \to M$, depending on a and ϕ, such that

$$|||T(a) - \pi_{a,\phi}(a)|||_\phi = 0,$$

(compare [1]).

It is shown in [1] that bilocal *-automorphisms and extreme-strong-local *-automorphisms on $B(H)$ define the same applications.

Theorem 4.3 in [1] established that every extreme-strong-local *-automorphism on an atomic von Neumann algebra is a Jordan *-homomorphism. The conclusion of this result is no longer true for general von Neumann algebras, even nor for von Neumann algebras which are bidual Banach spaces (compare [1, comments preceding Theorem 4.3]).

In an attempt to find additional hypothesis to determine when a bilocal *-automorphism is a *-homomorphism (i.e. multiplicative), Molnár introduced

in [11, Corollary 2] the following "3-local property": a linear mapping $T : B(H) \to B(H)$ satisfies the *3-local property* if for every a in $B(H)$ and every ξ, η in H, there exists a *-automorphism $\pi_{a,\xi,\eta} : B(H) \to B(H)$, depending on a, ξ, and η such that

$$T(a)(\xi) = \pi_{a,\xi,\eta}(a)(\xi), \text{ and } T(a)(\eta) = \pi_{a,\xi,\eta}(a)(\eta).$$

Clearly every linear mapping with the 3-local property also is a bilocal *-automorphism or a extreme-strong-local *-automorphism.

Corollary 2 in [11] asserts that, for an infinite dimensional separable complex Hilbert space H, every linear mapping $T : B(H) \to B(H)$ satisfying the 3-local property is a *-homomorphism. The problem whether, in [11, Corollary 2], the same conclusion remains or not true when H is finite dimensional or infinite dimensional and non-separable, was left as an open problem by Molnár (cf. [11, pages 87-88]). It was already noticed in [11], and in this introduction, that the linear bijection $T : M_2(\mathbb{C}) \to M_2(\mathbb{C})$, $T(a) = a^t$ is a local *-automorphism which is not multiplicative (compare [12, Example 3.14]), so the result is not true for when H is 2-dimensional.

In this paper we provide a complete positive answer to the above question for any complex Hilbert space H with dimension bigger or equal than three (see Theorem 2.2). The proof is based in an independent result which shows that for every complex Hilbert space H with $\dim(H) \geq 3$, we can always find an operator $a \in B(H)$ which is not bilocally unitarily equivalent to its transpose, that is there exist ξ_0 and η_0 in H satisfying

$$\|a_0^t(\eta_0) - ua_0u^*(\eta_0)\| + \|a_0^t(\xi_0) - ua_0u^*(\xi_0)\| \neq 0,$$

for every unitary $u \in B(H)$ (cf. 2.1).

2. 2-local unitarily equivalent matrices and operators

Let $B(H)$ denote the C*-algebra of all bounded linear operators on an arbitrary complex Hilbert space H. Following standard notation, we shall say that an operator a in $B(H)$ is *unitarily equivalent to its transpose*, a^t, when there exists a unitary $u \in B(H)$ satisfying $a = ua^tu^*$. Originated by a question of P.R. Halmos, who asked in [6, Proposition 159] whether every square complex matrix is unitarily equivalent to its transpose, a question completely solved by W. Specht [13], the study of matrices which are unitarily equivalent to their transposes attracted the attention of many researchers. We refer to the papers [5, 7] as good recent references on results about matrices which are unitarily equivalent to their transposes.

We are interested in a priori weaker property: the operator a is *bilocally unitarily equivalent to its transpose* when for every $\xi, \eta \in H$, there exists a unitary $u_{\xi,\eta} \in B(H)$, depending on ξ and η, such that

$$a(\xi) = u_{\xi,\eta}a^tu^*_{\xi,\eta}(\xi), \text{ and } a(\eta) = u_{\xi,\eta}a^tu^*_{\xi,\eta}(\eta).$$

Our first Theorem shows the existence of operators which are not bilocally unitarily equivalent to their transposes.

Theorem 2.1. *Let H be a complex Hilbert space with $dim(H) \geq 3$. Then there exists $a \in B(H)$ which is not bilocally unitarily equivalent to its transpose. Furthermore, suppose K is a closed subspace of H with $dim(K) \geq 3$, and let p denote the orthogonal projection of H onto K. Then there exists $a \in B(H)$ with $a = pap$, which is not bilocally unitarily equivalent to its transpose in $B(H)$.*

Proof. Let us take an orthonormal basis $\{\xi_1, \xi_2, \xi_3\} \cup \{\xi_j\}_{j\in J}$ of H. We observe that J can be an empty, a finite or an infinite set. We consider the operator $a = \xi_1 \otimes \xi_2 + 2\xi_2 \otimes \xi_3 \in B(H)$. We shall prove that a is not bilocally unitarily equivalent to its transpose. Suppose, on the contrary, that a is bilocally unitarily equivalent to its transpose. By assumptions, for each $\eta \in H$ there exists a unitary $u_{\xi_3,\eta} \in B(H)$ satisfying

$$0 = u_{\xi_3,\eta} a^t(\xi_3) = a u_{\xi_3,\eta}(\xi_3),$$

which implies that $u_{\xi_3,\eta}(\xi_3) \in \ker(a) = \{\xi_2, \xi_3\}^\perp$. This shows that

$$\langle u_{\xi_3,\eta}(\xi_3)/\xi_i\rangle = 0, \tag{1}$$

for every $i = 2, 3$, and $\eta \in H$.

By assumptions, there is a unitary $u_{\xi_3,\xi_2} \in B(H)$ satisfying

$$u_{\xi_3,\xi_2} a^t(\xi_3) = a u_{\xi_3,\xi_2}(\xi_3),$$

and

$$u_{\xi_3,\xi_2} a^t(\xi_2) = a u_{\xi_3,\xi_2}(\xi_2).$$

Since $2u_{\xi_3,\xi_2}(\xi_3) = u_{\xi_3,\xi_2} a^t(\xi_2) = a u_{\xi_3,\xi_2}(\xi_2) \in a(H) = \mathbb{C}\xi_1 \oplus \mathbb{C}\xi_2$, we deduce that

$$2u_{\xi_3,\xi_2}(\xi_3) = \mu_1\xi_1 + \mu_2\xi_2,$$

where $|\mu_1|^2 + |\mu_2|^2 = 4$, because u_{ξ_3,ξ_2} is a unitary. It follows from (1) that $2u_{\xi_3,\xi_2}(\xi_3) = \mu_1\xi_1$ with $|\mu_1| = 2$.

On the other hand

$$u_{\xi_3,\xi_2}(\xi_2) = \lambda_1\xi_1 + \lambda_2\xi_2 + \lambda_3\xi_3 + \sum_{j\in J} \lambda_j\xi_j,$$

where the family $(\lambda_j)_{j\in J}$ is sumable and $\sum_{j\in J} |\lambda_j|^2 + |\lambda_1|^2 + |\lambda_2|^2 + |\lambda_3|^2 = 1$, because u_{ξ_3,ξ_2} is a unitary. Therefore

$$\mu_1\xi_1 = 2u_{\xi_3,\xi_2}(\xi_3) = a u_{\xi_3,\xi_2}(\xi_2) = \lambda_2\xi_1 + 2\lambda_3\xi_2,$$

and hence $\lambda_3 = 0$, and $\mu_1\xi_1 = \lambda_2\xi_1$, which is impossible because $2 = |\mu_1| = |\lambda_2| \leq 1$. □

We can state now a result which provides a complete solution to the question posed by Molnár in [11, pages 87-88].

Theorem 2.2. *Let H be a complex Hilbert space with $dim(H) \geq 3$. Let $T : B(H) \to B(H)$ be a linear mapping satisfying the 3-local property, that is, for every $\xi, \eta \in H$, and every $a \in B(H)$, there exists a *-automorphism $\pi_{\xi,\eta,a} : B(H) \to B(H)$ satisfying*

$$T(a)(\xi) = \pi_{\xi,\eta,a}(a)(\xi), \text{ and, } T(a)(\eta) = \pi_{\xi,\eta,a}(a)(\eta),$$

equivalently (compare [10, Theorem A.8]*), for every $\xi, \eta \in H$, and every $a \in B(H)$, there exists a unitary $u_{\xi,\eta,a}$ in $B(H)$ satisfying*

$$T(a)(\xi) = u_{\xi,\eta,a} a u^*_{\xi,\eta,a}(\xi), \text{ and } T(a)(\eta) = u_{\xi,\eta,a} a u^*_{\xi,\eta,a}(\eta).$$

Then T is a *-monomorphism.

Before proving the above theorem we state a technical result borrowed from [11, Proof of Corollary 1], the proof is included here for completeness reasons.

Lemma 2.3. *Let $T : B(H) \to B(H)$ be a linear mapping satisfying the 3-local property, where H is a complex Hilbert space. Then T maps rank-one operators to rank-one operators.*

Proof. Let a be a rank-one operator in $B(H)$. Given ξ, η in H, there exists a unitary $u_{\xi,\eta,a}$ in $B(H)$ satisfying

$$T(a)(\xi) = u_{\xi,\eta,a} a u^*_{\xi,\eta,a}(\xi), \text{ and } T(a)(\eta) = u_{\xi,\eta,a} a u^*_{\xi,\eta,a}(\eta).$$

This implies that $T(a)(\xi)$ and $T(a)(\eta)$ are linearly dependent for every ξ and η in H, which concludes the proof. □

Proof of Theorem 2.2. According to the terminology in [1], every mapping T in the hypothesis of this Theorem is a extreme-strong-local *-automorphism on $B(H)$. Theorem 4.3 in [1] implies that T is a (continuous) Jordan *-homomorphism on $B(H)$. A remarkable result of E. Størmer, assures that T is a *-homomorphism or a *-anti-homomorphism (cf. [14], see also [8, Theorem 10], [2], and [10, Appendix]).

We note that every extreme-strong-local *-automorphism on an atomic von Neumann algebra is unital, and hence non-zero. Since T is a Jordan *-homomorphism, its kernel, $\ker(T)$, is a norm closed Jordan *-ideal of $B(H)$. Theorem 5.3 in [4] assures that $\ker(T)$ is a closed (associative) ideal of $B(H)$. Since $B(H)$ is a factor and T is non-zero, we deduce that $\ker(T) = \{0\}$, and hence T is a Jordan *-monomorphism.

Suppose now that H is finite dimensional. The arguments above show that T is a Jordan *-automorphism, and hence a *-automorphism or a *-anti-automorphism. Furthermore, by [10, Theorem A.8], there exists a unitary $u_0 \in B(H)$ satisfying

$$T(a) = u_0 a u_0^*, \text{ or } T(a) = u_0 a^t u_0^*,$$

for every $a \in B(H)$. Suppose that $T(a) = u_0 a^t u_0^*$, for every $a \in B(H)$. Theorem 2.1 implies the existence of an operator $a_0 \in B(H)$ such that a_0^t is

not bilocally unitarily equivalent to a_0, that is, there exist ξ_0 and η_0 in H satisfying

$$\|a_0^t(\eta_0) - ua_0u^*(\eta_0)\| + \|a_0^t(\xi_0) - ua_0u^*(\xi_0)\| \neq 0, \tag{2}$$

for every unitary $u \in B(H)$. Let us define $\widetilde{\xi_0} = u_0(\xi_0)$ and $\widetilde{\eta_0} = u_0(\eta_0)$ in H. It follows from (2) that

$$\begin{aligned}
&\|T(a_0)(\widetilde{\eta_0}) - u_0ua_0u^*u_0^*(\widetilde{\eta_0})\| + \|T(a_0)(\widetilde{\xi_0}) - u_0ua_0u^*u_0^*(\widetilde{\xi_0})\| \\
&= \|u_0a_0^tu_0^*(\widetilde{\eta_0}) - u_0ua_0u^*u_0^*(\widetilde{\eta_0})\| + \|u_0a_0^tu_0^*(\widetilde{\xi_0}) - u_0ua_0u^*u_0^*(\widetilde{\xi_0})\| \\
&= \|u_0a_0^t(\eta_0) - u_0ua_0u^*(\eta_0)\| + \|u_0a_0^t(\xi_0) - u_0ua_0u^*(\xi_0)\| \\
&= \|a_0^t(\eta_0) - ua_0u^*(\eta_0)\| + \|a_0^t(\xi_0) - ua_0u^*(\xi_0)\| \neq 0,
\end{aligned}$$

for every unitary $u \in B(H)$, which contradicts that T satisfies the 3-local property at the point a_0. Therefore, T is a *-automorphism.

We finally assume that H is infinite dimensional (non-necessarily separable) and T is a Jordan *-monomorphism satisfying the 3-local property. We have already commented, in the first paragraph, that T is either a *-homomorphism or a *-anti-homomorphism. Arguing by contradiction, we suppose that T is a *-anti-homomorphism.

Let $p_1, \ldots, p_n$ be mutually orthogonal minimal projections in $B(H)$ with $n \geq 3$. Clearly, $T(p_1), \ldots, T(p_n)$ are mutually orthogonal minimal projections in $B(H)$ (compare Lemma 2.3). Let $p = \sum_j p_j$. Since T is a *-anti-monomorphism, it maps $pB(H)p \equiv M_n(\mathbb{C})$ into $T(p)B(H)T(p) \equiv M_n(\mathbb{C})$ and $T|_{pB(H)p} : pB(H)p \to T(p)B(H)T(p)$ is a *-anti-monomorphism. In this case, $p_j = \zeta_j \otimes \zeta_j$ and $T(p_j) = \kappa_j \otimes \kappa_j$, where $\{\zeta_1, \ldots, \zeta_n\}$ and $\{\kappa_1, \ldots, \kappa_n\}$ are orthonormal systems in H. Let us take a unitary $u_0 \in B(H)$ mapping each ζ_j to κ_j.

The mapping

$$u_0^*T|_{pB(H)p}u_0 : pB(H)p \to pB(H)p \equiv M_n(\mathbb{C})$$

also is a *-anti-monomorphism. We deduce from [10, Theorem A.8], that there exists a unitary $\widetilde{v_0} : p(H) \to p(H)$ such that

$$u_0^*T(x)u_0 = \widetilde{v_0}^*x^t\widetilde{v_0},$$

for every $x \in pB(H)p \equiv B(p(H))$. We can find a unitary $v_0 \in B(H)$ satisfying $v_0|_{p(H)} = \widetilde{v_0}$, and hence

$$u_0^*T(x)u_0 = v_0^*x^tv_0, \text{ or equivalently, } T(x) = u_0v_0^*x^tv_0u_0^*, \tag{3}$$

for every $x \in pB(H)p \equiv B(p(H))$. Applying the second statement in Theorem 2.1, we find an element $a_0 \in B(H)$ with $a_0 = pa_0p$, which is not bilocally unitarily equivalent to its transpose in $B(H)$, that is, there exist ξ_0 and η_0 in H satisfying

$$\|a_0^t(\eta_0) - ua_0u^*(\eta_0)\| + \|a_0^t(\xi_0) - ua_0u^*(\xi_0)\| \neq 0,$$

for every unitary $u \in B(H)$, a result that, by (3), contradicts the 3-local property of T, because $a_0 \in pB(H)p$. □

It should be remarked here that Theorem 2.2 also completes the conclusions in [1, §4].

References

[1] A. Ben Ali Essaleh, A.M. Peralta, M.I. Ramírez, Weak-local derivations and homomorphisms on C*-algebras, preprint 2014. arxiv:1411.4795v2.

[2] M. Bresar, Jordan Mappings of Semiprime Rings, *J. Algebra*, **127**, 1, 218-228 (1989).

[3] M. Brešar, P. Šemrl, On local automorphisms and mappings that preserve idempotents, *Studia Math.* **113**, no. 2, 101-108 (1995).

[4] P. Civin, B. Yood, Lie and Jordan structures in Banach algebras, *Pacific J. Math.* **15**, 775-797 (1965).

[5] S.R. Garcia, J.E. Tener, Unitary equivalence of a matrix to its transpose, *J. Operator Theory* **68**, no. 1, 179-203 (2012).

[6] P.R. Halmos, *A Linear Algebra Problem Book*, Dolciani Math. Exp., vol. 16, Math. Assoc. America, Washington, DC 1995.

[7] Kh. D. Ikramov, A.K. Abdikalykov, On unitary transposable matrices of order three, *Translation of Mat. Zametki* **91**, no. 4, 563-570 (2012). *Math. Notes* **91**, no. 3-4, 528-534 (2012).

[8] R. V. Kadison, Isometries of Operator Algebras, *Ann. of Math.*, Vol. **54**, No. 2, 325-338 (1951).

[9] D.R. Larson and A.R. Sourour, Local derivations and local automorphisms of $B(X)$, *Proc. Sympos. Pure Math.* **51**, Part 2, Providence, Rhode Island 1990, pp. 187-194.

[10] L. Molnár, *Selected Preserver Problems on Algebraic Structures of Linear Operators and on Function Spaces, Lecture Notes in Mathematics 1895*, Springer-Verlag, Berlin Heidelberg, 2007.

[11] L. Molnár, Bilocal *-automorphisms of $B(H)$, *Arch. Math.* **102**, 83-89 (2014).

[12] A.M. Peralta, A note on 2-local representations of C*-algebras, to appear in *Operators and Matrices*.

[13] W. Specht, Zur Theorie der Matrizen. II., *Jber. Deutsch. Math. Verein.* **50**, 19-23 (1940).

[14] E. Størmer, On the Jordan Structure of C*-Algebras, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc., **120**, No. 3, 438-447 (1965).

[15] C. Xiong, J. Zhu, Bilocal derivations of standard operator algebras, *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.* **125**, 1367-1370 (1997).

E-mail address: ahlem.benalisaleh@gmail.com

Faculte des Sciences de Monastir, Département de Mathématiques, Avenue de L'environnement, 5019 Monastir, Tunisia

Current address: Departamento de Análisis Matemático, Facultad de Ciencias, Universidad de Granada, 18071 Granada, Spain.

E-mail address: mhsniazi@yahoo.com

Faculty of Mathematical Sciences in University of Birjand, Birjand, Iran
Current address: Departamento de Análisis Matemático, Facultad de Ciencias, Universidad de Granada, 18071 Granada, Spain.

Departamento de Análisis Matemático, Facultad de Ciencias, Universidad de Granada, 18071 Granada, Spain.
Current address: Visiting Professor at Department of Mathematics, College of Science, King Saud University, P.O.Box 2455-5, Riyadh-11451, Kingdom of Saudi Arabia.
E-mail address: aperalta@ugr.es